

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,487	11/20/2003	George G. Barclay	51854 8441	
7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. Box 9169			ASHTON, ROSEMARY E	
Boston, MA 02209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1752	
		DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		111/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/718,487	BARCLAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rosemary E. Ashton	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	uaust 2004.					
· — · · · · — —	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,22-25 and 52-54 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) 54 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,22,24,52 and 53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,23,25 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	-vaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Statutory Double Patenting

Claims 1-6,52-54 are directed to the same invention as that of claims 1-6,51-53 of commonly assigned application serial no. 10/717,975 (US 2004/0253535). The issue of priority under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) and possibly 35 U.S.C. 102(f) of this single invention must be resolved.

Since the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP § 2302), the assignee is required to state which entity is the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter. A terminal disclaimer has no effect in this situation since the basis for refusing more than one patent is priority of invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) and not an extension of monopoly.

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a holding of abandonment of this application.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-6,52-54 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6,51-53 of copending Application No. 10/717,975. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-6 in both applications are directed to a coated substrate comprising the same underlayer and photoresist composition. The language of "for imaging at less than 200 nm" in claim 1 of the instant application is given little weight because the claim is to a substrate, not a method as in claim 22.

Claims 52-54 of the instant application and claims 51-53 of copending application '975 are both directed to an article of manufacture comprising the same components. The language of "for short

Art Unit: 1752

wavelength imaging" in claim 52 of the instant application is given little weight because the claim is to a substrate, not a method as in claim 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1,2,4,5,22,24,52,53 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khojasteh et al patent application publication no. US 2002/0058204 A1 in view of Brock et al. patent no. 6,444,408.

Khojasteh teaches an underlying composition for a multilayer lithographic processes wherein the underlayer is coated on a microelectronic substrate and comprises a resin having alicyclic groups and chromophore groups wherein the chromophore groups are phenyl groups as shown in polymer (III) or polymer (VI) below as in claims 1 and 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,487 Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

Chromophore groups other than phenyl are taught in section 44 are non-fused aromatics such as phenol or fused aromatics such as anthracene, thus making obvious the limitation of claim 4.

As shown above, both polymers III and VI have isobornyl groups as in claim 5.

In sections 71 and 82 Khojasteh teaches the preferred resist for overcoating the underlayer composition is a silicon containing resist and in section 82 it states the underlayer comprising polymer (III) was coated with a silicon containing resist as taught in the copending application 09/514,212 which is Brock et al. Khojasteh does not teach the resist has a photoactive component.

[0082] The above polymer was formulated into a planarizing underlayer composition by combining with 4.75 wt. % of an acid generator di-(t-butyl) iodonium perfluorobutane sulfonate (PFBuS) in PMA solvent. A solution was coated to 5000 .ANG. and baked at 225.degree. C. for 2 minutes prior to resist coating with a silicon-containing resist described in copending application Ser. No. 09/514,212.

The silicon-containing resist of Brock is taught in example 11. The silicon-containing polymer is shown below and the composition also has a photoacid generator as a photoactive component.

Art Unit: 1752

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the resist composition of Brock as the resist composition in Khojasteh with a reasonable expectation of obtaining a substrate for making integrated circuits because Khojasteh teaches the underlayer should be used with the resist compositions taught in Brock having copending application ser. no. 09/514,212.

7. Claims 1,2,5,22,24,52,53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brock et al U.S. patent no. 6,444,408.

Brock teaches a resist composition for use with an underlayer in making integrated circuits. A coated silicon substrate is formed in example 11 using an underlayer composition comprising a resin having alicyclic groups (epoxydicyclopentadiene methacrylate) and chromophore groups (phenol from hydroxystyrene). Over the underlayer is a resist composition comprising a silicon containing resin (silicon component), as shown below, and di(t-butyl)iodonium perfluorooctane sulfonate as a photoacid generator (photoactive component). Hydroxy styrene is a phenyl group substituted with a hydroxyl group as in claim 5.

As stated in example 11 the coated substrate having the underlayer and the resist layer is exposed to 193 nm radiation as in claims 22 and 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,487 Page 6

Art Unit: 1752

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7,23,25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior

art does not teach a coated substrate having an underlayer comprising a resin having aromatic and/or

alicyclic groups and a second resin having chromophore groups. It also does not teach a method of

forming a relief image using the coated substrate by exposure at less than 170 nm or 157 nm.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Rosemary E. Ashton whose telephone number is 571-272-1326. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 11:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosemary E. Ashton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

February 21, 2005

ROSEMARY ASHTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alpha